# Effects of health program aimed at increasing daily living non-exercise physical activity on physical activity and self-efficacy in sedentary college students

Takeshi Yamauchi<sup>\*</sup>, Katsuro Kitamura<sup>\*\*</sup>, Jinro Takato<sup>\*\*\*</sup>, Toshimi Kudo<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>, Ichiro Tokimitsu<sup>\*\*\*\*\*</sup> and Takashi Sakata<sup>\*\*\*\*\*</sup>

> \*School of Human Studies, Ishinomaki Senshu University
> 1 Shinmito, Minamisakai, Ishinomaki, Miyagi 986-8580, Japan yamauchi@isenshu-u.ac.jp
> \*\*Graduate School of Educational Informatics, Tohoku University
> 27-1 Kawauchi, Aobaku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8576, Japan
> \*\*\*\*Faculty of Medical Science and Welfare, Tohoku Bunka Gakuen University
> 6-45-1Kunimi, Aobaku, Sendai, Miyagi 981-8551, Japan
> \*\*\*\*\* Department of Psychological and Behavioral Science, Miyagi Gakuin Women's University
> 9-1-1Sakuragaoka, Aobaku, Sendai, Miyagi 981-8557, Japan
> \*\*\*\*\* School of Science and Engineering, Ishinomaki Senshu University
> 1 Shinmito, Minamisakai, Ishinomaki, Miyagi 986-8580, Japan
> [Received January 22, 2013 ; Accepted May 19, 2013]

The goal of the present study was to determine if a daily living non-exercise physical activity intervention increases physical activity and self-efficacy in sedentary college students. Daily living non-exercise activities are defined as physical activity not classified as exercise or sports such as household chores and movement/travel. A 10-week health promotion program aimed at increasing daily non-exercise physical activities was implemented in which participants were randomly assigned to either an intervention (n = 23) or control group (n = 19). Participants in the intervention group were provided advice about their daily living non-exercise physical activity during weekly individual counseling sessions. The intervention and control groups also attended two seminars providing health-related information.

A survey was administered for 1 week before the start of the program and after the end of the program to assess levels of physical activity of the two groups using a physical activity monitor. They were also asked to complete a questionnaire regarding general self-efficacy and the SF-36® Health Survey. The intervention group exhibited significant increases in moderate-intensity physical activity and general self-efficacy compared with the controls. Based on their answers to the SF-36® Health Survey, scores for the intervention group for the categories of bodily pain and general health changed positively and significantly; however, these changes in the intervention group were not significantly different from those of control group. Given that the target setting designed to increase daily non-exercise physical activities during the intervention was not very demanding, the repeated attainment of less-demanding targets resulted in enhanced self-efficacy in sedentary students. Conclusions: These results demonstrate that health promotion programs designed to increase daily non-exercise physical activities as the first step in promoting behavioral change are effective in shifting sedentary students from inactive to active lifestyles.

Keywords: daily living non-exercise physical activity, sedentary college students, self-efficacy, tri axial accelerometer

[School Health Vol.9, 6-13, 2013]

#### 1. Introduction

A recent investigation revealed that approximately

half of Japanese college students failed to meet the recommended level of physical activity as described by either the joint guidelines issued by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention of the United States and American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) or the ACSM's own guidelines (Okazaki et al. 2009). Further, annual reports by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science & Technology of Japan (2008) indicate marked declines in physical fitness of college students over the last 30 years. Because declines in physical activity and fitness increase the risk of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) and reduce the quality of life (Brown et al. 2003; Carnethon et al. 2003), countermeasures are urgently required to increase the level of physical activity of college students. The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (2006) drafted the Exercise and Physical Activity Guide for Health Promotion in 2006, which proposes measures to increase the physical activity level of the population regardless of time or place. Specifically, this guide is characterized by a target of daily living non-exercise physical activity of at least 3 METs. Daily living non-exercise activities are defined as physical activity not classified as exercise or sports such as household chores and movement/travel. Levine et al. (1999) accurately measured non-exercise activity thermogenesis (i.e., daily living non-exercise physical activity), and showed that daily living nonexercise physical activity play a major role in the daily energy expenditure. According to a survey by the Sasagawa Sports Foundation (2010), the main reason for the lack of participation in physical activity among college students is lack of time, which was mentioned by 67.6% of respondents. Other barriers to physical activity are "There are other activities more worthwhile than exercising or sports." (24.5%) and "I don't bother to exercise." (19.6%). Therefore, increasing daily living non-exercise physical activity could be an effective strategy as it requires less time and elicits fewer barriers such as place or fatigue than participating in sports or exercise.

Although many studies have focused on the effect of sports or exercise on health (Anton et al. 2006; Capodaglio et al. 2007; Tully et al. 2005; Woo et al. 2006), we are not aware of any studies on the effects of an intervention aimed at increasing the level of daily living non-exercise physical activity.

A daily living non-exercise physical activity intervention is considered to relate self-efficacy (Raedeke et al. 2010; Tayama et al. 2012). Given that the target setting designed to increase daily living physical activity during the intervention could be not very demanding, the repeated attainment of lessdemanding targets may be result in enhanced selfefficacy. Here, we evaluated the effects of a daily living non-exercise physical activity intervention on the level of physical activity and self-efficacy among sedentary college students who did not engage in regular exercise.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1 Subjects and groups

Fifty-nine participants from a group health promotion program conducted at four universities in Miyagi Prefecture were recruited using posters and by announcing the program in class. The participants were randomly assigned to either an intervention or control group. We assessed the level of physical activity using a physical activity monitor for 1 week before the start of the health promotion program. In addition, before the start of the health promotion program, we administered a questionnaire regarding general self-efficacy along with the SF-36® Health Survey. The health promotion program lasted for 10 weeks, and we assessed the level of physical activity and the questionnaire in the same way as before the start of health promotion program. According to the 2007 National Health and Nutrition Survey Japan (2007), mean daily step counts in individuals aged 15-19 years and those aged 20-29 years were 8,556 and 7,605, respectively. Thus, we used a cut-off level of 8,000 steps to delineate active from sedentary participants. Because we intended to study only college students with a low level of physical activity level, nine participants with a daily step count of more than 8,000 were excluded. We also excluded eight other participants who showed marked changes in lifestyle during the health promotion program. Accordingly, 23 subjects in the intervention group and 19 in the control group were included.

## **2.2** Description of the health promotion program

The members of the intervention group were given individual counseling regarding a daily living nonexercise physical activity program lasting 10 min each week over the 10-week program. They were asked to wear a three-axis physical activity monitor except while sleeping and bathing. Based on the results of monitoring, participants in the intervention group were individually counseled on the number of steps and the duration of various physical activities at 1.1-3, 3-6, and 6+ METs. The fundamental principle of counseling was that the members of the intervention group were responsible for setting their own daily living non-exercise physical activity level target and devising measures to reach this target by themselves. When the subject was counseled to set a goal for the number of steps, the increase in the weekly number was set to around 300 steps, a readily attainable goal. To reinforce the desired behavior of increasing steps in the intervention group, each week members were given three plastic bottles of a catechin-containing tea beverage (Healthya Water, 500 ml/bottle, Kao Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). This beverage was selected to promote health-conscious behavior among members of the intervention group, because students recognize it as a product aimed to support walking through the commercials on prime-time television and the bottle labeled "support in walking", and because many college students regularly carry a bottled beverage. Members of the intervention group attended two 90-minute seminars, held on the fourth and eighth weeks, providing health-related information. The first seminar focused on the role of daily living non-exercise physical activity in preventing NCDs and the relationship between daily living non-exercise physical activity and total daily energy consumption. In the second seminar, participants were advised on the utility of diet in the primary prevention of NCDs and attended a lecture concerning the importance of a regular lifestyle and the effect of catechin on lipid metabolism (Harada et al. 2005; Ota et al 2005). In contrast, members of the control group attended one health seminar after 4 weeks. This seminar was the same as that given to the intervention group.

#### 2.3 Measurements

The levels of physical activity of all participants were determined for 1 week before and after the program. A health-related questionnaire was administered at these times as well. Because accurate measurement of the intensity of daily living nonexercise physical activity is important, we selected a physical activity monitor (Actimarker EW4800P-K, Panasonic Electric Works Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) whose accuracy in quantifying daily living nonexercise physical activity had been confirmed (Hara et al. 2006). The physical activity monitor was set at a sampling frequency of 21 Hz and an acceleration detection sensitivity of 0.01 G. The acceleration was converted to METs using data obtained by 1-minute sampling according to the standard deviation of the resultant tri-axial acceleration. Levels of physical activity were evaluated in categories described in the revised exercise prescription guidelines of the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) and American Heart Association as follows: low (<3 METs), moderate ( $\geq$ 3 and <6 METs), and vigorous, ( $\geq$ 6 METs) (Haskell et al. 2007).

## 2.4 General self-efficacy and Health-related Quality of Life Surveys

To measure general self-efficacy of daily living, we used a questionnaire prepared by Sakano et al (Sakano and Tohjoh 1986). General self-efficacy was evaluated according to the total score of a questionnaire consisting of 16 items.

The Health-related Quality of Life (HRQOL) of subjects was assessed using the SF36 survey (Fukuhara et al. 1998). It consists of 36 questions, 35 of which are included on eight multi-item scales as follows: physical functioning, role-physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role-emotional, and mental health.

#### 2.5 Statistical analyses

All data are presented as means and standard deviations. Comparisons between the intervention and control groups before the health promotion program were performed using non-paired t-tests or the Chisquare test as appropriate. Within-group comparisons were made using paired t-tests. The effects of the health promotion program were analyzed by the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) using baseline values as covariates. Relationships between variables were analyzed using Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficients. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 16.0J for Windows (Japan IBM, Tokyo, Japan). Significance was defined as p <0.05. All participants in the study provided written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Japanese Society of Nutrition and Food Science.

#### 3. Results

The baseline characteristics of members of the intervention and control groups were similar with respect to gender, age, height, and body weight as determined using the non-paired t-test or Chi-square test (table 1). Tables 2 and 3 summarize the effects of intervention on daily living non-exercise physical activity for various outcomes. The before-program characteristics of members of the intervention and control groups were similar with respect to number of daily steps, level of physical activity, general self-efficacy score, and responses to the SF36 using the non-paired t-test. The number of daily steps showed an increase in the intervention group (t = 8.124, p < 0.05) and increased significantly in the intervention

group compared with the control group after program (F=18.783, P<0.05). Similarly, the duration of activities at  $\geq$ 3 METs and <6 METs showed an increase in the intervention group (t = 5.886, p <0.05) and increased significantly in the intervention group compared with the control group (F=6.942, p < 0.05). Although the duration of activities at less than 3 METs increased in the intervention group (t = 4.136, p < 0.05), this change was not significantly different in comparison with that of the control group. Similarly, although the duration of activities at  $\geq 6$ METs showed an increase in the intervention group (t = 2.813, p < 0.05), the change was not significantly different from that observed in the control group. The intervention group showed a significant increase in the general self-efficacy score in comparison with the

Table 1The characteristic of subjects.

	intervention group	control group
Number of subjects	n = 23 11 male and 12 female	n = 19 9 male and 10 female
age (yrs)	19.4 ± 2.5	20.7 ± 3.3
height (cm)	162.8 ± 8.0	164.0 ± 10.2
weight (kg)	64.4 ± 15.0	58.0 ±12.4

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

 Table 2
 Effects of a 10-week daily living non-exercise physical activity program on the level of physical activity of sedentary college students.

		Before program	After program	Difference	95% CI
Number of steps ( steps / day )	Intervention group	$5652 \pm 1102$	$8326 \pm 1908 * $ §	$2674 \pm 1578$	1991, 3357
	Control group	$6154 \pm 1280$	$6423 \pm 1917$	$269 \pm 1782$	-590,1128
Duration of activities at < 3 METs (min)	Intervention group	$426.4 \pm 108.7$	$482.2 \pm 86.8 *$	$55.8 \pm 64.7$	27.8,83.8
	Control group	$448.9 \pm 135.9$	$455.7 \pm 167.8$	$6.8 \pm 137.7$	-59.6, 73.2
Duration of activities at > 3 METs and < 6 METs (min)	Intervention group	$39.4 \pm 13.2$	57.5 ± 16.5 *§	$18.1 \pm 14.7$	11.7,24.5
	Control group	$43.8 \pm 12.0$	$46.8 \pm 15.4$	$3.0 \pm 18.5$	-5.9,11.9
Duration of activities at > 6 METs (min)	Intervention group	$1.0 \pm 1.1$	2.4 ± 2.9 *	$1.4 \pm 2.4$	0.4, 2.5
	Control group	$0.6 \pm 1.2$	$1.7 \pm 2.5$	$1.1 \pm 2.5$	-1.3, 2.2

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

Intervention group: n=23

Control group: n=19

95% CI: 95% Confidence interval

\*: p < 0.05, Within-group comparison from pre- to post-program (paired *t*-test)

\$: p < 0.05, Comparison of the effects of the program between the intervention and control groups (ANCOVA)

		Before program	After program
Comoral calf office on a com	Intervention group	$5.2 \pm 4.2$	$6.8 \pm 3.3^{*}$ §
General sen-enheacy score	Control group	$5.7 \pm 4.1$	$5.3 \pm 3.8$
Dhygical functioning	Intervention group	$51.9\pm6.2$	53.0 ± 1.1
r nysical functioning	Control group	$47.4 \pm 16.0$	54.4 ± 5.8
Role-physical	Intervention group	$47.3 \pm 2.2$	47.2 ± 2.1
	Control group	$45.8\pm9.5$	$48.0\pm9.8$
	Intervention group	$51.9\pm1.3$	58.5 ± 1.2*
Bodily pail	Control group	$47.2\pm8.9$	53.5 ± 9.3
General health	Intervention group	$50.7\pm2.3$	54.5 ± 1.8*
	Control group	$47.2 \pm 7.7$	$50.8\pm10.5$
Vitality	Intervention group	$43.4 \pm 2.0$	44.4 ± 1.5
vitality	Control group	$41.6\pm8.3$	$45.9\pm8.1$
Social functioning	Intervention group	$47.5 \pm 2.1$	$49.5\pm1.8$
	Control group	$44.5 \pm 13.9$	$48.2 \pm 10.8$
Dala amotional	Intervention group	$44.7 \pm 2.5$	$42.5 \pm 2.1$
Kole-emotional	Control group	$43.8\pm11.4$	$44.7\pm10.7$
Montal health	Intervention group	45.3 ± 2.0	44.8 ± 2.0
	Control group	$44.5\pm10.1$	$47.0\pm9.5$

 Table 3
 Effect of a 10-week non-exercise physical activity intervention program on the general self-efficacy and SF-36 scores of inactive college students.

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

Intervention group: n = 23

Control group: n = 19

 $\ast$  : p < 0.05, Within-group comparison from pre- to post-program (paried t-test)

p < 0.05, Comparison of the effects of the program between the intervention and control

groups (ANCOVA)

control group (F=5.681, p<0.05). The intervention group demonstrated a significant increase in the SF36 scores for bodily pain and general health, although these changes were not significantly different in comparison with those in the control group.

We evaluated the relationships between changes in the duration of activities at different MET levels and changes in the number of steps during the health promotion program in the intervention group (**Table** 4). A significant positive correlation was observed between a change in the duration of activities at  $\geq$ 3 METs and <6 METs and the change in the number of daily steps. Further, a weak positive correlation was noted between a change in the duration of activities at <3 METs and the change in the number of daily steps.

When the intervention and control subjects were combined (**Fig. 1**), a weak positive correlation was observed between the values of baseline and poststudy daily number of steps and general self-efficacy score. However, no correlation was detected between the change in number of steps and changes in general self-efficacy score (data not shown, r = 0.11, n.s.).

#### 4. Discussion

We report here a study of college students considered sedentary based on their average daily step count of less than 8,000. Their participation in



 Table 4
 Correlations between changes in the number of daily steps with changes in the physical activity level during a 10-week non-exercise physical activity interventional program.

**Figure 1** Relationship between general self-efficacy and number of steps. The left and right graphs show correlations between values assessed before and after the program, respectively.

a very simple and easy to follow health promotion program resulted in increases in the number of steps and the level of moderate-intensity physical activity as well as an improvement in self-efficacy. Baseline value for the mean daily number of steps  $(5,879 \pm$ 1,198) taken by the participants in this study was markedly lower than that reported for individuals aged 15-19 or 20-29 years by the 2007 National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan (2007) (8,556 and 7,605 steps, respectively). Thus, we consider the participants to have been predominantly sedentary. Participants in the intervention group were asked to review their daily physical activity patterns using the physical activity monitor and to independently plan to increase their daily living non-exercise level of physical activity. The daily number of steps during the study did not change in the control group. In contrast, the daily number of steps increased by 48% in the intervention group to a level that was 30% higher than that in the control group. This suggests that the current intervention was effective at increasing the daily number of steps.

In a meta-analysis of the effects of health

promotion programs (Bravata et al. 2007), Bravata et al. reported that the number of steps taken by participants in intervention groups increased by 2,491 (95% confidence interval: 1,098-3,885) compared with those in the control groups. Improvements in response to intervention were also observed for numerous risk factors for NCDs. The increase in daily step counts in the current intervention fell to within the 95% confidence interval range reported by Bravata et al. (2007). Thus, a health promotion program aimed at increasing daily living non-exercise physical activity level may be effective in preventing NCDs.

Participants' responses suggested that members of the intervention group often used their commuting time to increase levels of daily living non-exercise physical activity. Walking to school corresponds to a moderate intensity level of approximately 3 METs. Because a 10-minute walk is equivalent to approximately 1,000 steps, the 18-minute increase in the duration of activities at 3-6 METs observed in the intervention group should correspond to an approximately 1,800-step increase. Therefore, nearly 70% of the observed increase in steps in the intervention group can be explained by increased activities at the moderate intensity level. Indeed, a strong correlation (r=0.83) was observed between changes in the number of steps and the change in the duration of activities at an intensity of 3-6 METs. Similarly, a positive albeit more modest correlation was observed between a change in the number of steps and a change in the duration of low intensity (<3 METs) physical activity (r=0.50). Two reasons may explain the moderate correlation between an increase in the number of steps and the increase in the duration of low-intensity activities. First, the physical activity monitor used in this study only recognizes four or more consecutive steps as walking (Hara et al. 2006). Second, non-exercise physical activities, such as household chores that are performed at less than 3 METs, do not necessarily involve walking.

General self-efficacy was weakly associated with the number of steps at baseline and post-intervention. This suggests that daily living non-exercise physical activity, mainly walking, appears to be related in part to general self-efficacy for sedentary college students. The participants began to positively evaluate physical activities using physical activity monitor and began to make modifications in their daily life to increase the amount of physical activity. Due to the participants' developed expectations, prospects, and individual strategies, an enhancement of self-efficacy is believed to contribute to an increase in physical activity level.

Morimoto et al. (2006) indicated that a greater amount of physical activity was associated with better HRQL, and Puig-Ribera et al. (2005) reported that workplace walking increased with wellbeing among sedentary participants. Furthermore, Izawa et al. (2004) reported that exercise maintenance may be related to improvement of HRQL. In the present study, intervention group had significant improvements in the SF36 scores for bodily pain and general health after health program. The results of SF36 may be relevant to a task of reaching the steps goal repeatedly. Participants in the intervention group were instructed to increase to around 300 steps a week. The increase of around 300 steps a week may be a reasonable goal setting to achieve repeatedly among sedentary participants. In addition, corresponding to low to moderate exercise intensity, daily life non exercise physical activity would hardly cause an acute physical pain. This may be also one of the factors for sedentary participants to maintain

School Health Vol.9, 6-13, 2013

http://www.shobix.co.jp/sh/hpe/main.htm

health behavior.

There are several inherent limitations to the present study. Firstly, the present study emphasizes the need for large sample study to lead to more accurate results. In addition, because participation was restricted to sedentary college students (< 8,000 steps/day), the same interventional program may not produce similar effects when applied to healthier or more active college students. Future studies should widen the range of subjects participating and evaluate differences in the effects of the program according to age. Because the college term lasts 15 weeks, extending the 10-week health promotion program used here to a 15-week intervention should not be difficult. However, applying this strategy to working or elderly people may be more problematic, as participants are likely to feel that the burden of a weekly commitment is excessive. Assuming that the efficacy of a health promotion intervention is dependent on its frequency and duration, it would be desirable to evaluate the threshold frequency and duration necessary to produce a health-promoting effect.

#### 5. Conclusion

Here, we studied college students considered sedentary based on their average daily step count of less than 8,000. Participants in our 10-week program received a weekly intervention in the form of guidance concerning their daily life non-exercise activities. This guidance resulted in increases in the number of steps and the level of moderate-intensity physical activity as well as an improvement in selfefficacy. These results suggest that a health promotion program designed to increase daily living nonexercise physical activity level could be effective as a first step in prompting sedentary college students to practice health-promoting behaviors that lead to a more active campus life.

#### **Funding source**

Part of this work was supported by a grant from Kao Research Council for the Study of Healthcare Science, Tokyo.

#### References

- Anton MM, Cortez-Cooper MY, DeVan AE, et al. (2006). Resistance training increases basal limb blood flow and vascular conductance in aging humans. J Appl Physiol 101: 1351-1355.
- Bravata DM, Smith-Spangler C, Sundaram V, et al. (2007). Using

pedometers to increase physical activity and improve health: a systematic review. JAMA 298: 2296-2304.

- Brown DW, Balluz LS, Heath GW, et al. (2003). Associations between recommended levels of physical activity and healthrelated quality of life. Findings from the 2001 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey. Prev Med 37: 520-528.
- Capodaglio PM, Capodaglio E, Facioli M, et al. (2007). Longterm strength training for community-dwelling people over 75: impact on muscle function, functional ability and life style. Eur J Appl Physiol 100: 535-542.
- Carnethon MR, Gidding SS, Nehgme R, et al. (2003). Cardiorespiratory fitness in young adulthood and the development of cardiovascular disease risk factors. JAMA 290: 3092-3100.
- Fukuhara S, Ware JE Jr., Kosinski M, et al. (1998). Psychometric and clinical tests of validity of the Japanese SF-36 Health Survey. J Clin Epidemiol 51: 1045-1053.
- Hara T, Matsumura Y, Yamamoto M, et al. (2006). The relationship between body weight reduction and intensity of daily physical activities assessed with 3-dimension accelerometer. Jpn J Phys Fitness Sports Med 55: 385-392 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- Harada U, Chikama A, Saito S, et al. (2005). Effects of the longterm ingestion of tea catechins on energy expenditure and dietary fat oxidation in healthy subjects. Journal of Health Science 51: 248-252.
- Haskell WL, Lee IM, Pate RR, et al. (2007). Physical activity and public health: updated recommendation for adults from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. Circulation 116: 1081-1093.
- Izawa KP, Yamada S, Oka K, et al. (2004). Long-term exercise maintenance, physical activity, and health-related quality of life after cardiac rehabilitation. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 83: 884-892.
- Levine JA, Eberhardt NL, Jensen MD. (1999). Role of nonexercise activity thermogenesis in resistance to fat gain in humans. Science 283: 212-214.
- Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science & Technology (2008). "Physical and motor fitness survey." Available at : http://www.mext.go.jp/b\_menu/houdou/21/10/attach/1285568. htm Retrieved November, 2012.
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2006). "Exercise and Physical Activity Guide for Health Promotion 2006~To Prevent Lifestyle-related Diseases~<Exercise Guide 2006>." Available at : http://www.nih.go.jp/eiken/programs/pdf/ exercise\_guide.pdf Retrieved November, 2012.
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in Japan (2007). "National Health and Nutrition Survey Japan" Available at : http://www.mhlw.go.jp/bunya/kenkou/eiyou09/dl/01-03.pdf p227 Retrieved November, 2012.
- Morimoto T, Oguma Y, Yamazaki S, et al. (2006). Gender differences in effects of physical activity on quality of life and resource utilization. Qual Life Res 15: 537-546.
- Okazaki K, Suzuki H, Kaga M, et al. (2009). Physical activity and exercise levels, and their relationship with selected health factors in college students. Japan J Phys Educ Hlth Sport Sci 54: 425–436 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- Ota N, Soga S, Shimotoyodome A, et al. (2005) Effects of combination of regular exercise and tea catechins intake on energy expenditure in humans. Journal of Health Science 51: 233-236.

Puig-Ribera A, McKenna J, Gilson N, et al. (2008). Change in work day step counts, wellbeing and job performance in Catalan university employees: a randomised controlled trial. Promot Educ 15: 11-16. doi: 10.1177/1025382308097693.

Raedeke TD, Focht BC, King JS. (2010). The impact of a studentled pedometer intervention incorporating cognitive-behavioral strategies on step count and self-efficacy. Res Q Exerc Sport. 81:87-96.

- Sakano Y, and Tohjoh M. (1986). The general self-efficacy scale: scale development and validation. Jap J Behav Ther 12: 73-82 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- Sasagawa Sports Foundation. Attitudes towards sports, The 2010 SSF national sports-life survey of young people. pp. 48, SASAGAWA SPORTS FOUNDATION (in japanese with English executive summary).
- Tayama J, Yamasaki H, Tamai M, et al. (2012). Effect of baseline self-efficacy on physical activity and psychological stress after a one-week pedometer intervention. Percept Mot Skills 11: 407-418.
- Tully MA, Cupples ME, Chan WS, et al. (2005). Brisk walking, fitness, and cardiovascular risk: a randomized controlled trial in primary care. Prev Med 41: 622-628.
- Woo JS, Derleth C, Stratton JR, et al. (2006). The influence of age, gender, and training on exercise efficiency. J Am Coll Cardiol 47: 1049-1057.



Name: Takeshi Yamauchi

Affiliation: School of Human studies, Ishinomaki Senshu University

#### Address:

### 1 Shinmito, Minamisakai, Ishinomaki, Miyagi 986-8580, Japan Brief Biographical History:

1997-2007 Lecturer, School of Science and Engineering, Ishinomaki Senshu University

2007- 2013 Associate Professor, School of Science and Engineering, Ishinomaki Senshu University

2013- Associate Professor, School of Human studies, Ishinomaki Senshu University

#### Main Works:

- Regulation of alosterone secretion under simulated extreme altitude conditions.
- Japanese Journal of Mountain Medicine Vol. 30:140-146, 2010.
- Hypoxia-indcued changes of aldosterone preceding acute mountain sickness.

Japanese Journal of Mountain Medicine Vol. 32:45-49, 2012.

#### Membership in Learned Societies:

- Japanese Association of School Health
- Japanese Society of Sleep Research
- Japanese Society of Physical Fitness and Sports Medicine